HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT-NAINITAL.
Writ Petition No. 872(S/S)2004

Devi Prasad Mamgain S/o Sri Satya Prasad Mamgain,
And nine others. ….Petitioners.

Vs.

Uttaranchal Forest Development Corporation,
Aranya Bhavan, Dehradun, though its
Managing Director, and three others. ….Respondents.

Ms. Suman Sirohi, learned Counsel for the petitioners,
Sri V.K. Bisht, learned Sr. Counsel assisted by Ms. Seema Sirohi, Adv. for respondent no. 1, 2 & 3.
Sri Aniruddha Bhatt, Adv. for respondent no. 4.

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.:

Heard Ms. Suman Sirohi, learned Counsel for the petitioners, Sri V.K. Bisht, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. Seema Sirohi, Adv. for respondent nos. 1, 2 & 3 and Sri Aniruddha Bhatt, Adv. for respondent no.4.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioners have prayed for a writ in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to reinstate the petitioners with continuity of service with back wages and grant the same benefits to the petitioners as other similarly situated employees in pursuance of the judgment and order passed in writ petition no. 1376 of 2001 and w.p. no. 511 (ss) 03, w.p. no. 6747 (ss) 01 and 1539(ss) 2003.

According to the learned counsel for both the parties, the controversy raised in the present writ petition are squarely covered by the judgment of the this Court in writ petition no. 1376 (MS) 2001 Jabar Singh and eleven others vs. Presiding Officer, Labour Court and three others decided on 21.08.2003 alongwith other connected matters.

For the reasons stated above, the present writ petition is decided and disposed of in the same terms and observations made in writ petition no. 1376 (M/S) 2001 Jabar Singh and eleven others vs. Presiding Officer, Labour Court and three others decided on 21.08.2003 by this Court alongwith other connected matters.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)

July 31, 2004
NCM: